## 1．Introduction 开素

In recent years，the utilization of bicycles has been attracting attention in various countries for reducing environmental damage， promoting health and promoting tourism．

The purpose of this study is to understand differences in the rental bicycle activities between Japanese and foreign tourists．The findings are based on the questionnaire survey and GPS log data to those tourists using rental bicycles in Kyoto city．

## 2．Overview of Research 开素

The prediction model of the usage time by the rental bicycle users was constructed using the proportional hazards model．In this study，we estimated the models not only for all tourists but also for Japanese and foreigners individually，and compared and examined the two models．
The survey overview is shown in Table 1．．Our survey consists of two surveys，questionnaire and GPS log data．Participants in this survey freely were asked to visit sightseeing areas．GPS log data were measured at 5 －second intervals．From these data，we calculated usage time and travel distance，and showed the spatial distribution of travel routes and destinations．

Table 1．Survey overview

| Period | November 1，2018－November 11，2018（except November 9，2018） |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shops | Kyoto Cycling Tour Project and Kyoto Eco Trip |
| Subjects | Japanese tourists and foreign tourists |
| Collection | 140 samples（Japanese： 85 samples，foreigners：55 samples） |
| Questionnaire content | Personal attributes（country，sex，age） <br> How often do you ride a bicycle？ <br> Where did you visit or were you enable to visit？ <br> Why did you choose the route？ <br> What problems did you have while traveling by bicycle？ |
| GPS log data content | ID，time，date，longitude，latitude，speed |

## 3．Results च㨍 $\dagger$

## 3－1．Analysis of Questionnaire and GPS $\log$ data

Table 2．，Fig．1．，2．，3．，4．，5．，6．and 7．show the results of analysis of questionnaire and GPS log data．
There were not remarkable differences in the average travel distance and the average usage time between Japanese and foreign tourists．There were some differences in travel behavior，such as the average number of places visited，criteria for route choice and problems with the rental bicycle trip．

## 3－2．Prediction Model of the Usage Time

Table 3．is the estimation result of the prediction model of the usage time using the proportional hazards model．As shown in Table 3. and the survival curve，there was no significant difference．Therefore，it was found that there is not remarkable difference in usage time between Japanese and foreigners，but factors affecting usage time，the number of places and total travel distance，influence more significantly on Japanese tourists than foreign tourists．

Table 2．The results of analysis of questionnaire and GPS log

| Average | Japanese | Foreigners |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Usage time | 5 h 48 m 27 s | 5 h 45 m 27 s |
| Travel distance | 24.1 km | 22.1 km |
| Running time | 3 h 9 m 45 s | 2 h 58 m 12 s |
| Total facility－staying time | 2 h 38 m 42 s | 2 h 47 m 15 s |
| Each facility－staying time | 57 m 47 s | 1 h 12 m 33 s |
| Number of places visited | 3.6 | 3.0 |
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Fig．1．Criteria for route choice by cyclists
Table 3．Estimation result of the prediction model of the usage time

| Covariance | All |  | Japanese |  | Foreigners |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total travel distance | －－0．028 |  | －0．026 |  | － 0.0 .030 |  |
| Total travel distance | 0.008 | ＊＊ | 0.053 |  | 0.112 |  |
| Number of | －0． 205 |  | －0．193 |  | －0．247 |  |
| places visited | 0.004 | ＊＊ | 0.021 | ＊ | 0.063 | － |
| Route choice criteria 1 | 0.236 |  | 0.420 |  | －0．031 |  |
| （Follow the navigation） | 0.216 |  | 0.109 |  | $0.91 \overline{6}$ |  |
| Route choice criteria 2 | 0.242 |  | 0.554 |  | －0．131 |  |
| （Interesting，Fun） | $\overline{0} . \overline{2} 22$ |  | 0.042 | ＊ | $0.66 \overline{4}$ |  |
| Number of | －0．206 |  | －0．339 |  | －0．072 |  |
| problems indicated | 0.010 | ＊＊ | 0.002 | ＊＊ | $0.50 \overline{5}$ |  |
|  | 0.008 |  | － |  | ＿－ |  |
| Country | $\overline{0} . \overline{9} \overline{6} 8$ |  | － |  |  |  |
| Concordance | 0.678 |  | 0.683 |  | 0.667 |  |
| Sample number | 140 |  | 85 |  | 55 |  |

[^0]（＊＊：0．1\％significant，$*: 1 \%$ significant，.$: 5 \%$ significant）


Fig．2．Speed（Japanese）
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Fig．3．Speed（Foreigners）


Fig．5．Movement （Foreigners，0：00 p．m．）


Fig．7．Movement （Foreigners，3：00 p．m．）


[^0]:    ※ Upper row ：Parameter
    Lower row：p value

