Direct extraction of ring-down modes of binary black-hole mergers using auto-regression method



Ringdown part decays quickly. (damping rate = 3.7 ms for 60 Msun, a=0.75) We need to develop a technique.

- ➡ Auto-Regressive model
- ➡ Apply O3 data.

Hisaaki Shinkai (OIT) 真貝寿明(大阪工業大)

https://www.oit.ac.jp/is/shinkai/

BH quasi-normal modes BH perturbation in GR \rightarrow (*M*, *a*)

Strongest Field ever ➡ suitable for testing GR

Can we see freq. & damping rate? Can we see overtones? Can we see higher modes? ... as is predicted by GR

> When the ringdown starts? **Overtones?** Higher modes? **Consistent with GR?**

台湾









Two acknowledges to the collaborators

Rapid Response Team participation

Human vetting of the event validation by non-experts. Three Timezones: L+V+K 8 hrs/day



Hisaaki Shinkai (OIT) 真貝寿明(大阪工業大)

https://www.oit.ac.jp/is/shinkai/

EPO activities in Taiwan

https://techart.nthu.edu.tw/THE2022/

印象清華2022-"宇宙的漣漪" 天文科普藝術節

了我們對重力和宇 · 垂2022-"宇宙的滩淌 科技藝術以另一角。

重力波的百年懸案

活動地點/時間 國立清華大學圖書館 開幕茶會:2022/4

術跨域合作的科普

般民眾與學生都能夠

關於展覽





M



Transient Catalog O1/O2/O3a,b has 90 events

重 力 波

重力波の生成機構 一般相対性理論によれば,大質量でコンパクトな天体が加速度運動 することにより,重力波が発生する.重力波源としては連星の合体や超新星爆発,非球対称 な星の高速回転や,宇宙初期に起源をもつ重力波が宇宙空間を伝播していると考えられる. これらのうち,データとの相関解析を可能にする波形予測ができるのは,連星合体からの重 力波である.十分に合体前はニュートン力学に相対論補正を加えたポスト・ニュートン展開 により,合体前後は数値シミュレーションにより,合体後ブラックホールが生じる場合には ブラックホール時空の摂動によっても波形モデルが得られる。これらのモデルと重力波干渉 計で得られる信号の相関をとることで,連星ブラックホール(以下 BBH)や連星中性子星 (BNS),および中性子星・ブラックホール連星(NSBH)の合体現象による重力波の検出、 および、パラメータ推定が 2015 年以来可能になった.

重力波の観測 これまでに、米欧のレーザー干渉計 LIGO, Virgo によって、O3b と呼ばれる観測期間終了までに、BBH 波源の重力波が 83 例,BNS 波源が 2 例,NSBH 波源が 3 例,片方が BH で相方が不明なもの 2 例の合計 90 例が報告されている.日本の KAGRA (かぐら)も O3b 観測期間の最後に共同観測に入った.次の観測期間 O4 は、2022 年 12 月開始が予定されている(2022 年 7 月現在)。

重力波イベントは,観測された年月日を用いて,GW150914の形で命名される.O3a 期より,時分秒を加えた名称が正式となった.重力波イベントは速報体制が取られ,多波長電磁 波追観測が可能になっているが,これまでに波源が特定されたのはGW170817のみである.

重力波レーザー干渉計の位置と腕の向き

(〔例えば N	36° W は,	北から西方に 3	6° の向きを指す.	.)	
干渉計	所在地	腕長 (km)	緯度	経度	X-腕	Y-腕
LIGO Hanford	米国	4	46°27′19″ N	$119^{\circ}24'28''$ W	N 36° W	W 36° S
LIGO Livingston	米国	4	30 33 46 N	$90 \ 46 \ 27 \ W$	W 18° S	S 18° E
Virgo	欧州	3	43 37 53 N	10 30 16 E	N 19° E	W 19° N
KAGRA	日本	3	$36\ 24\ 36\ N$	$137 \ 18 \ 36 \ E$	E 28.3° N	N 28.3° W

過去の観測期間										
観測期	Advanced LIGO	Advanced Virgo	KAGRA							
	年月日 年月日	年月日 年月日	年月日 年月日							
O1	$2015 \hspace{.1in} 9 \hspace{.1in} 12 \hspace{.1in} - \hspace{-0.1in} 2016 \hspace{.1in} 1 \hspace{.1in} 19$	_	_							
O2	$2016 \ 11 \ 30 \ -2017 \ \ 8 \ 25$	$2017\ 8\ 1\ -2017\ 8\ 25$	_							
O3a	2019 4 1 -2019 9 30	同左	_							
O3b	$2019\ 11\ \ 1\ -2020\ \ 3\ 27$	同左								
O3GK	_	_	$2020 \ 4 \ 7 - 2020 \ 4 \ 21$							

観測された中で特筆すべきイベント 突発的重力波カタログ3(GWTC3)として 2021 年 11 月に発表されたものが最新の重力波イベントカタログである.

<u>GW150914</u> 最初に報告された重力波直接観測イベント. BBH の存在を明らかにし,太陽質量 (M_{\odot}) の 30 倍以上の BH の存在を初めて確認した.報告された BBH のイベントの中でも最もシグナル・ノイズ比 (SNR) が高い. <u>GW170817</u> 最初に報告された BNS イベント. 直後に多くの追観測がなされ,マルチ・メッセンジャー天文学の初めての成功例となった.重力波波形から得られた中性子星の状態方程式に対する制限は核密度 $\rho_{nuc} = 2.8 \times 10^{14} g/cm^3$ の2倍の密度における圧力として $(2\rho_{nuc}) = 3.5^{+2.7}_{-1.7} \times 10^{34} dyn/cm^2(90\%)$ 信頼区間)である. γ 線が重力波のピークと 1.7 秒差で到着したことから重力波伝播速度の光速からのずれの割合は 1×10^{-15} 以下と制限された.また,可視・赤外における追観測から鉄以上の重元

1



Grav Wave

by T.Tanaka + HS

過去の観測期間										
観測期	Advanced LIGO	Advanced Virgo	KAGRA							
	年月日 年月日	年月日 年月日	年月日 年月日							
O1	$2015 \hspace{.1in} 9 \hspace{.1in} 12 \hspace{.1in} - \hspace{1in} 2016 \hspace{.1in} 1 \hspace{.1in} 19$	_	—							
O2	$2016 \ 11 \ 30 \ -2017 \ 8 \ 25$	$2017\ 8\ 1\ -2017\ 8\ 25$	_							
O3a	$2019\ 4\ 1\ -2019\ 9\ 30$	同左	—							
O3b	$2019 \ 11 \ 1 - 2020 \ 3 \ 27$	同左								
O3GK	_	_	$2020\ 4\ 7\ -2020\ 4\ 21$							

Gravitational Wave Transient Catalog

		released	arXiv	ref.	BHBH	NSNS	NSBH	BH+?	total
GWTC-1	01+02	2018/12/3	1811.12907	PRX 9 (2019) 031040	10	1			11
GWTC-2	O3a	2020/10/28	2010.14527	PRX 11 (2011) 021053	36	1		2	39
GWTC-2.1	+	2021/8/2	2108.01045		+8-3				5
GWTC-3	O3b	2021/11/5	2111.03606		32		3		35
				total	83	2	3	2	90







Transient Catalog O1/O2/O3a,b has 90 events

報告されたおもな重力波(2022 年 7 月現在) 連星の質量を M_1, M_2 としたときの,チャープ質量 $M_c = (M_1 M_2)^{3/5} / (M_1 + M_2)^{1/5}$, 質量比(中央値の比) M_2/M_1 ,有効スピン χ_{eff} ,最終的に形成された BH の質量 M_{final} (NS を含む場合は全質量 $M_{\underline{2}} = M_1 + M_2$),距離,波源特定精度(平方度)($\Delta \theta$)²,シグナル・ノイズ比を示す.幅のある量は 90% の信頼区間.(種類ごとに日付順.BBH については,GW190521 と SNR が 17.3 より大きいもののみ.)

イベント (BBH)	$M_c(M_{\odot})$	質量比	$\chi_{ m eff}$	$M_{ m final}(M_{\odot})$	距離 (Mpc)	$(\Delta \theta)^2$	SNR	-	
GW150914	$28.6^{+1.7}_{-1.5}$	0.86	$-0.01^{+0.12}_{-0.13}$	$63.1^{+3.4}_{-3.0}$	440^{+150}_{-170}	182	24.4		good event for test
GW190412	$13.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	0.32	$0.21\substack{+0.12\\-0.13}$	$35.6\substack{+4.8 \\ -4.5}$	720^{+240}_{-220}	240	19.8		
GW190521	$63.3^{+19.6}_{-14.6}$	0.58	$-0.14^{+0.5}_{-0.45}$	$147.4^{+40.0}_{-16.0}$	$3310\substack{+2790\\-1800}$	1000	14.3		too heavy, low freq.
$GW190521_{-}074359$	$32.8^{+3.2}_{-2.8}$	0.77	$0.1\substack{+0.13 \\ -0.13}$	$72.6^{+6.5}_{-5.4}$	1080^{+580}_{-530}	470	25.9		
GW190814	$6.11\substack{+0.06\\-0.05}$	0.11	$0^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$25.7^{+1.3}_{-1.3}$	230^{+40}_{-50}	22	25.3		too light, high freq.
$GW191109_010717$	$47.5^{+9.6}_{-7.5}$	0.72	$-0.29^{+0.42}_{-0.31}$	$107^{+18.0}_{-15.0}$	$1290\substack{+1130 \\ -650}$	1600	17.3		too heavy, low freq.
$GW191204_{-}171526$	$8.55^{+0.38}_{-0.27}$	0.69	$0.16\substack{+0.08\\-0.05}$	$19.21^{+1.79}_{-0.95}$	650^{+190}_{-250}	350	17.5	~	too light, high freq.
$GW191216_{-213338}$	$8.33^{+0.22}_{-0.19}$	0.64	$0.11\substack{+0.13\\-0.06}$	$18.87^{+2.8}_{-0.94}$	340^{+120}_{-130}	490	18.6	~	too light, high freq.
$GW200112_{-155838}$	$27.4^{+2.6}_{-2.1}$	0.79	$0.06\substack{+0.15\\-0.15}$	$60.8^{+5.3}_{-4.3}$	$1250\substack{+430 \\ -460}$	4300	19.8		
$GW200129_065458$	$27.2^{+2.1}_{-2.3}$	0.84	$0.11\substack{+0.11 \\ -0.16}$	$60.3^{+4.0}_{-3.3}$	900^{+290}_{-380}	130	26.8		
$GW200224_222234$	$31.1^{+3.2}_{-2.6}$	0.81	$0.1\substack{+0.15 \\ -0.15}$	$68.6\substack{+6.6\\-4.7}$	$1710\substack{+490 \\ -640}$	50.0	20		
$GW200311_115853$	$26.6^{+2.4}_{-2.0}$	0.81	$-0.02\substack{+0.16\-0.2}$	$59^{+4.8}_{-3.9}$	1170^{+280}_{-400}	35	17.8		
イベント (BNS)	$M_c(M_{\odot})$	質量比	$\chi_{ m eff}$	$M_{\widehat{\pm}}(M_{\odot})$	距離 (Mpc)	$(\Delta \theta)^2$	SNR	-	
GW170817	$1.186\substack{+0.001\\-0.001}$	0.87	$0^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	_	$40^{+7.0}_{-15.0}$	16	33	-	
GW190425	$1.44^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$	0.62	$0.07\substack{+0.07 \\ -0.05}$	$3.4\substack{+0.3 \\ -0.1}$	150^{+80}_{-60}	8700	12.4	_	
イベント (NSBH)	$M_c(M_{\odot})$	質量比	$\chi_{ m eff}$	$M_{\widehat{\pm}}(M_{\odot})$	距離 (Mpc)	$(\Delta \theta)^2$	SNR	-	
$GW190917_{-}114630$	$3.7^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	0.22	$-0.08^{+0.21}_{-0.43}$	$11.6^{+3.1}_{-2.9}$	720^{+300}_{-310}	2100	8.3	-	
$GW200105_{-}162426$	$3.42^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	0.21	$0.0\substack{+0.13\\-0.18}$	$10.7^{+1.5}_{-1.4}$	270^{+120}_{-110}	7900	13.7		
$GW200115_{-}042309$	$2.43\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.07}$	0.24	$-0.15\substack{+0.24\\-0.42}$	$7.2^{+1.8}_{-1.7}$	290^{+150}_{-100}	370	11.3	_	







Tests of General Relativity with GWTC-3 (LVK paper)

- 1. residuals test
- 2. inspiral-merger-ringdown consistency test
- 3. parametrized tests of GW generation
- 4. spin-induced moments
- 5. modified GW dispersion relation
- 6. polarization content
- 7. ringdown
- 8. echoes searches

Event	Inct	Inst				SND	Tests performed								
Event Inst.		$D_{\rm L}$	(1 + z)M	$(1+z)\mathcal{M}$	$(1+z)M_{\rm f}$	$\chi_{\rm f}$	SINK	RT	IMR	PAR	SIM	MDR	POL	RD	ECH
		[Gpc]	$[M_{\odot}]$	$[M_{\odot}]$	$[M_{\odot}]$										
GW191109_010717	HL	$1.29^{+1.13}_{-0.65}$	140^{+21}_{-17}	$60.1^{+9.8}_{-9.3}$	135^{+19}_{-15}	$0.61^{+0.18}_{-0.19}$	$17.3^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	1	_	_	_	-	1	1	1
GW191129_134029	HL	$0.79^{+0.26}_{-0.33}$	$20.10^{+2.94}_{-0.64}$	$8.49^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$19.19^{+3.07}_{-0.67}$	$0.69^{+0.03}_{-0.05}$	$13.1_{-0.3}^{+0.2}$	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	1
GW191204_171526	HL	$0.65^{+0.19}_{-0.25}$	22.74 ^{+1.94} _{-0.48}	$9.70^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$21.60^{+2.05}_{-0.50}$	$0.73^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$17.5^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	1	_	1	1	1	1	-	1
GW191215_223052	HLV	$1.93^{+0.89}_{-0.86}$	$58.4_{-3.7}^{+4.8}$	$24.9^{+1.5}_{-1.4}$	$55.8^{+4.8}_{-3.3}$	$0.68^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$11.2^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	1	_	_	-	1	1	-	1
GW191216_213338	HV	$0.34_{-0.13}^{+0.12}$	$21.17^{+2.93}_{-0.66}$	$8.94^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$20.18^{+3.06}_{-0.70}$	$0.70^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$18.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	1	_	1	1	1	1	_	1
GW191222_033537	HL	$3.0^{+1.7}_{-1.7}$	119^{+16}_{-13}	$51.0^{+7.2}_{-6.5}$	114^{+14}_{-12}	$0.67^{+0.08}_{-0.11}$	$12.5^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$	1	_	-	-	1	1	1	1
GW200115_042309	HLV	$0.29^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$	$7.8^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$	$2.58^{+0.01}_{-0.01}$	$7.7^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$	$0.42^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$	$11.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	1	-	1	-	_	-	_	1
GW200129_065458	HLV	$0.90^{+0.29}_{-0.38}$	$74.6^{+4.5}_{-3.8}$	$32.1_{-2.6}^{+1.8}$	$70.9^{+4.2}_{-3.4}$	$0.73^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$26.8^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
GW200202_154313	HLV	$0.41^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$	$19.01^{+1.99}_{-0.34}$	$8.15^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$18.12^{+2.09}_{-0.35}$	$0.69^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$	$10.8^{+0.2}_{-0.4}$	1	-	1	-	1	-	_	1
GW200208_130117	HLV	$2.23^{+1.00}_{-0.85}$	91^{+11}_{-10}	$38.8^{+5.2}_{-4.8}$	$87.5^{+10.3}_{-9.1}$	$0.66^{+0.09}_{-0.13}$	$10.8^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	1
GW200219_094415	HLV	$3.4^{+1.7}_{-1.5}$	103^{+14}_{-12}	$43.7^{+6.3}_{-6.2}$	98^{+13}_{-11}	$0.66^{+0.10}_{-0.13}$	$10.7^{+0.3}_{-0.5}$	1	-	-	-	1	1	_	1
GW200224_222234	HLV	$1.71^{+0.49}_{-0.64}$	94.9 ^{+8.3}	$40.9^{+3.5}_{-3.8}$	$90.2^{+7.5}_{-6.4}$	$0.73^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$20.0^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	1
GW200225_060421	HL	$1.15^{+0.51}_{-0.53}$	$41.2^{+3.0}_{-4.0}$	$17.65^{+0.98}_{-1.97}$	$39.4^{+2.9}_{-3.6}$	$0.66^{+0.07}_{-0.13}$	$12.5_{-0.4}^{+0.3}$	1	1	1	1	1	1	_	1
GW200311_115853	HLV	$1.17^{+0.28}_{-0.40}$	$75.9^{+6.2}_{-5.7}$	$32.7^{+2.7}_{-2.8}$	$72.4^{+5.6}_{-5.1}$	$0.69^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$17.8^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1
GW200316_215756	HLV	$1.12^{+0.47}_{-0.44}$	$25.5^{+8.7}_{-1.1}$	$10.68^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$24.3^{+9.0}_{-1.1}$	$0.70^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	$10.3^{+0.4}_{-0.7}$	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1

2. inspiral-merger-ringdown consistency test

with $f > f_c$,

 $M_{
m f}^{
m postinsp}, \chi_{
m f}^{
m postinsp}$

Parameter Estimation with $f < f_c$,



$$M_{
m f}^{
m insp}, \chi_{
m f}^{
m insp}$$

Waveform models

IMRPhenomXPHM - phenomenological PN-based models, calibrated to NR

Event	$f_{\rm c}^{\rm IMR}$ [Hz]	$ ho_{ m IMR}$	$ ho_{ ext{insp}}$	$ ho_{ m postinsp}$	$Q_{ m GR}^{ m 2D}$ [%]
GW200129_065458	136	25.7	20.1	16.0	1.5
GW200208_130117	98	9.9	7.2	6.8	10.5
GW200224_222234	107	19.4	14.3	13.1	20.7
GW200225_060421	213	12.9	11.1	6.6	1.3
GW200311_115853	122	17.5	13.5	11.0	15.2

the fraction of the posterior enclosed by the isoprobability contour that passes through (0, 0) [smaller values indicate better consistency]

No statistically significant deviations from GR

7. ringdown test

$$h_{+}(t) - ih_{\times}(t) = \sum_{\ell=2}^{+\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\ell m n} \exp\left[-\frac{t - t_{0}}{(1 + z)\tau_{\ell m n}}\right] \exp\left[\frac{2\pi i f_{\ell m n}(t - t_{0})}{1 + z}\right]_{-2} S_{\ell}$$

time-domain ringdown analysis pyRing, based on damped sinusoids, parametrized ringdown analysis pSEOB, based on the SEOBNRv4HM waveform model.

Event	Redshifted final mass $(1 + z)M_{\rm f} [M_{\odot}]$					Fina <i>λ</i>	l spin (f		Higher modes	Overtones		
	IMR	Kerr ₂₂₀	Kerr ₂₂₁	Kerr _{HM}	IMR	Kerr ₂₂₀	Kerr ₂₂₁	Kerr _{HM}	$\log_{10}\mathcal{B}_{220}^{\mathrm{HM}}$	$\log_{10} \mathcal{B}_{220}^{221}$	$\log_{10} O_{\mathrm{GR}}^{\mathrm{modGR}}$	
GW191109_010717	$132.7^{+21.9}_{-13.8}$	$181.7^{+28.5}_{-30.6}$	$179.0^{+23.7}_{-21.7}$	$174.5^{+38.1}_{-30.1}$	$0.60^{+0.22}_{-0.19}$	$0.81^{+0.10}_{-0.24}$	$0.81^{+0.08}_{-0.14}$	$0.77^{+0.11}_{-0.21}$	-0.11	1.03	-0.27	
GW191222_033537	$114.2^{+14.3}_{-11.7}$	$111.4^{+69.3}_{-29.7}$	$110.3^{+36.2}_{-23.8}$	$118.3^{+97.0}_{-46.2}$	$0.67^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$	$0.46^{+0.41}_{-0.41}$	$0.52^{+0.31}_{-0.43}$	$0.60^{+0.28}_{-0.66}$	0.08	-0.83	-0.20	
GW200129_065458	$71.8^{+4.4}_{-3.9}$	$60.0^{+16.7}_{-8.9}$	$77.0^{+14.4}_{-14.2}$	$219.1^{+110.4}_{-140.0}$	$0.75^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$	$0.31_{-0.28}^{+0.43}$	$0.74_{-0.59}^{+0.17}$	$0.54_{-0.59}^{+0.35}$	-0.00	-0.47	-0.09	
GW200224_222234	$90.3^{+6.4}_{-6.3}$	$84.4_{-20.3}^{+23.2}$	$88.6^{+15.5}_{-15.2}$	$119.4_{-34.3}^{+142.6}$	$0.73^{+0.06}_{-0.07}$	$0.61^{+0.27}_{-0.49}$	$0.60^{+0.23}_{-0.42}$	$0.64^{+0.27}_{-0.59}$	0.20	0.95	-0.11	
GW200311_115853	$72.1_{-4.7}^{+5.4}$	$68.5^{+23.6}_{-13.5}$	$72.2^{+28.6}_{-16.3}$	$213.2^{+167.8}_{-141.5}$	$0.68^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$	$0.30^{+0.44}_{-0.28}$	$0.58^{+0.30}_{-0.47}$	$0.56^{+0.32}_{-0.54}$	0.02	-1.16	-0.15	
									\wedge			





>0 disagreement with GR

No statistically significant deviations from GR





5



-0.27







Auto-Regressive model (Method, general)

Fitting data with linear func.

$$x_n = a_1 x_{n-1} + a_2 x_{n-2} + \dots + a_M x_{n-M} + \varepsilon$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^M a_j x_{n-j} + \varepsilon$$

e.g.
$$x_n = Ae^{-rn\Delta t}\cos(\omega n\Delta t)$$

 $Z_1 = e^{-(r-j\omega)\Delta t}$
 $Z_2 = e^{-(r+j\omega)\Delta t}$
 $x_n = \frac{A}{2}(Z_1^n + Z_2^n) = (Z_1 + Z_2)x_{n-1} - Z_1Z_2x_{n-2}$

can be applied also to noisy data by adjusting M



- find a; (Burg method)
 find M (FPE final prediction error method)
 re-construct wave signal from fitted function
 apply FFT with arbitrary precision.









Method of Auto-Regressive model for extracting GW signal



We analyse the (whitened) data around the event reported.

Using AR method, we extract frequencies f_{real} , and damping rate τ (= f_{imag}).

No Prior Models, Only from Data Independent to each detector

We search constant-frequency signals (ring-down mode !?)

The variance of $f_{\text{real}} < 1$ -sigma, $\tau (= f_{\text{imag}}) < 1.25$ -sigma

We can extract the existing period for each mode

We can pick up several such (f, τ) in a single segment

Can extract multipul modes simalteneously

Refer the LVK catalog data (M_f, a_f) + redshift z

Derive (f, τ) and let them the GR predictions

$$f_{R} = f_{1} + f_{2}(1-a)^{f_{3}}$$

$$Q \equiv \frac{f_{R}}{2f_{I}} = q_{1} + q_{2}(1-a)^{q_{3}}$$

$$f_{qnm}[Hz] = \frac{c^{3}}{2\pi GM} f_{R} \sim 32314.1 \left(\frac{M_{\odot}}{M}\right) f_{R}.$$

$$M[M_{\odot}] = 32314.1 \times \frac{f_{1}}{M}$$
Berti, Cardoso & Will PRD 73, 064030 (2006).

Does AR extract ringdown mode properly? Consistent values from 3 detectors (Hanford, Livingston, Virgo)? Consistent values with LVK catalog

> When the ringdown starts? Ovetones? Higher modes? Consistent with GR?





Calibration of transient time of GW at each detector



16^h / 14^h 18^{h} 12^h 22^{h} $20^{\rm h}$

GPS: 1126259462.4 UTC Time: 2015-09-14 09:50

with a 55-550 Fiz bandpass liner to suppress large nucluations outside the detectors most sensitive nequency band, and band-reject filters to remove the strong instrumental spectral lines seen in the Fig. 3 spectra. Top row, left: H1 strain. Top row, right: L1 strain. GW150914 arrived first at L1 and 6.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4} ms later at H1; for a visual comparison, the H1 data are also shown, shifted in time by this amount and inverted (to account for the detectors' relative orientations). Second row: Gravitational-wave strain projected onto each datastas in the 26 260 Hz hand Colid lines show a sumarial establish monsfame for a costan with summatum consistant with these

PRL 116 (2016) 061102

https://www.gw-openscience.org/eventapi/html/GWTC-1-confident/GW150914/v3





FIG. 4. An orthographic projection of the PDF for the sky location of GW150914 given in terms of right ascension α (measured in hours and labeled around the edge of the figure) and declination δ (measured in degrees and labeled inside the figure). The contours of the 50% and 90% credible regions are plotted over a color-coded PDF. The sky localization forms part of an annulus, set by the time delay of 6.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4} ms between the Livingston and Hanford detectors.

PRL 116 (2016) 241102



GW150914 delay time (msec) from t0 (+ delay, - advanced)

= 12.8483LHO = 6.26193 LLO

Hanford Livingston Virgo KAGRA

PRL 116, 221101 (2016)

PHYSICAL RE



FIG. 5. 90% credible regions in the joint posterior distributions for the damped-sinusoid parameters f_0 and τ (see the main text), assuming start times $t_0 = t_M + 1$, 3, 5, 6.5 ms, where t_M is the merger time of the MAP waveform for GW150914. The black solid line shows the 90% credible region for the frequency and decay time of the $\ell = 2$, m = 2, n = 0 (i.e., the least-damped) QNM, as derived from the posterior distributions of the remnant mass and spin parameters.







Comparisons of the segment length

1. We show the segment time as its center, merger time = real merger time + seg. length/ 2. Longer seg.length, short-lived ringdown modes hide behind the candidates 3. Shorter seg.length, we pick up many transient noises



Hanford











The First event; O3a (GWTC-1, PRX 9(2019) 031040)



10

-1.0L

The First event; O3a (GWTC-1, PRX 9(2019) 031040)

Hanford

GW150914









For both, we picked up 251.6 Hz

https://www.gw-openscience.org/eventapi/html/GWTC-1-confident/GW150914/v3

O3a (GWTC-2, PRX 11(2021) 021053)

GW190412

Network SNR=18.9

Livingston





```
= 0.87046
LHO
LLO = 7.94444
Virgo= -11.2685
```

```
t_{merger} = 15.166
t_mergerH = 15.167
t_mergerL = 15.174
t mergerV = 15.105
```









.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 e [seconds] from 2019-04-12 05:30:44 UTC (1239082262.0



https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/S190412m/view/

O3a (GWTC-2, PRX 11(2021) 021053)

GW190521

Network SNR=14.2











0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 0.55 Time [seconds] from 2019-05-21 03:02:29 UTC (1242442967.0)

https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/S190521g/view/





O3a (GWTC-2, PRX 11(2021) 021053)



14

GW200112_155838

Hanford X





GW200112 delay time (msec) from t0 (+ delay, - advanced) LHO = 8.634

```
LLO = 2.1455
Virgo= -15.3773
```

t_merger = 16.094 s $t_mergerH = 16.103$ $t_mergerL = 16.096$ $t_mergerV = 16.080$



15

GW200129_065458

Network SNR=26.8

1 segmer = 1/16



LHO = - 7.5579 LLO = 1.71251 Virgo= 9.73468

t_merger = 15.435 s
t_mergerH = 15.427
t_mergerL = 15.437
t_mergerV = 15.445













$$\begin{array}{c} \text{M} = 256 \text{ points} \\ \text{sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{W paper } (M, a, z) = (60.3^{+4.0}_{-3.3}, 0.73^{+0.06}_{-0.05}, 0.18^{+0.06}_{-0.05}) \\ \hline \text{M} = 100 \text{ mm} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 29.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 15.4 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{M} \\ \text{Sec} = 29.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 62.5 \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 334.0 \text{ ms} \text{ i} 36.34 \text{ Hz}, f_{231} = 204.2 \text{ i} 111.4 \text{ Hz}, f_{232} = 235.4 \text{ ms} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 335.1 \text{ ms} \text{ i} 37.18 \text{ Hz}, f_{310} = 315.1 \text{ i} \text{ i} 52.23 \text{ Hz}, f_{300} = 285.4 \text{ ms} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 256.12 \text{ ms} \text{ ms} \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \text{Sec} = 256.12 \text{ ms} 15.1 \text{ ms} 152.23 \text{ Hz}, f_{310} = 315.1 \text{ ms} 152.23 \text{ Hz}, f_{310} = 125.4 \text{ ms} 152.5 \text{ m$$







GW200129_065458

Network SNR=26.8



+ 7.8125s

t mergerH = 15.435

t mergerL = 15.445

t mergerV = 15.452



0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 0.55 Time [seconds] from 2020-01-29 06:54:58 UTC (1264316116.0)

ne [seconds] from 2020-01-29 06:54:58 UTC (1264316116.0





https://www.gw-openscience.org/eventapi/html/GWTC-3-confident/GW200129_065458/v1

17

GW200224_22234

Network SNR=20.0



GW200224 delay time (msec) from t0 (+ delay, - advanced)

LHO = -2.701016.94325 LLO= 9.23521 Virgo= KAGRA= -18.5399

```
t merger = 15.402 s
 t mergerH = 15.399
 t_mergerL = 15.409
 t_mergerV = 15.411
```







Virgo



Hanford









https://www.gw-openscience.org/eventapi/html/GWTC-3-confident/GW200224_222234/v1

https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/S200224ca/view/





GW200311_115853

Network SNR=17.8





GW200311 delay time (msec) from t0 (+ delay, - advanced)

```
0.48509
LHO
     =
LLO = 10.1988
        6.83823
Virgo=
KAGRA= -19.049
```

```
t merger = 15.398 s
 t_mergerH = 15.404
 t_mergerL = 15.408
 t mergerV = 15.405
```

Hanford



Livingston



Hanford





Virgo





Direct extraction of ring-down modes of binary black-hole mergers using Auto-Regression method

Summary & Outlook

AR method

$$x_n = a_1 x_{n-1} + a_2 x_{n-2} + \dots + a_M x_n$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^M a_j x_{n-j} + \varepsilon_n$$

AR method works for noisy real data of short length (64 $pts \sim 15ms$). We can extract frequencies and damping rates from the data itself. No template, no theories. Can pick up several modes simultaneously. Analysis for each detector, & can extract the merger time explicitly.

LV 01/02/03a & LVK 03b

For events of $S/N \ge 15$ (total S/N), AR picks up ringdown modes. (We used the same parameters [merger time + 200 ms], Band Filterings [20-600Hz] for all analysis)

Can AR extract ring-down modes? Yes

Consistent between 3 IFs(Hanford, Livingston, Virgo)? Yes

Consistent with the LVK catalog

Almost Yes

➡ Not perfect for f imag (damping rate), estimating larger.



When the ring-down starts? Overtones? Higher modes? Consistent with GR?

3ms-5ms after merger Not Yet Consistent, so far.

Consistency checks with other methods & parameter trials. ➡ Waiting good signals (large S/N)

hisaaki.shinkai@oit.ac.jp



https://www.oit.ac.jp/is/shinkai/



acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by



- part of the innovative research area, "Gravitational wave physics and astronomy: Genesis".
- hardwares including its outreach activities"
- theory".



• JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP17H06358 (and also JP17H06357), A01: Testing gravity theories using gravitational waves, as a

• JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. 19H01901, "New directions in gravitational-wave data analysis: both in computing algorithms and

• JSPS KAKENHI Grants No. JP18K03630, "Non-linear dynamics in the modified gravity theories and the test of super-string

