APCTP Winter School, January 17-18, 2003

Introduction to Numerical Relativity

RIKEN Institute, Hisaaki Shinkai

- 1. Subjects for Numerical Relativity Why Numerical Relativity?
- 2. The Standard Approach to Numerical Relativity The ADM formulation
- 3. Alternative Approaches to Numerical Relativity

Full numerical, but different foliations



Cauchy and characteristic/matching, hyperboloidal foliations

Several approximations

Cauchy-perturbative, close-limit, quasi-spherical

4. Unsolved problems

etc, etc

신카이 히사아키

First Question: How to foliate space-time?





Σ: Initial 3-dimensional Surface

Characteristic approach (if null, dual-null 2+2 formulation)



S: Initial 2-dimensional Surface

	Cauchy $(3+1)$ evolution	Characteristic $(2+2)$ evolution
pioneers	ADM (1961), York-Smarr (1978)	Bondi <i>et al</i> (1962), Sachs (1962),
		Penrose (1963)
variables	easy to understand the concept of	has geometrical meanings
	time evolution	1 complex function related to 2 GW
		polarization modes
foliation	has Hamilton structure	allows implementation of Penrose's
		space-time compactification
initial data	need to solve constraints	no constraints
evolution	PDEs	ODEs with consistent conditions
	need to avoid constraint violation	propagation eqs along the light rays
singularity	need to avoid by some method	can truncate the grid
disadvantages	can not cover space-time globally	difficulty in treating caustics
		hard to treat matter

Numerical Relativity in Dual-Null Foliation

J.M. Stewart, H.Friedrich, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 384, 427 (1982) R.W. Corkill, J.M. Stewart, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 386, 373 (1983)



on S_o . S_u is the 2-surface u = constant. We define u in M by requiring u = constant on N_u , the unique null hypersurface (other than N'_o) through S_u . The coordinate v is similarly defined. We propagate (x^A) on to N'_o by requiring $x^A = \text{constant}$ along the γ'_o , and into M by requiring $x^A = \text{constant}$ along the generators γ_u of N_u .

Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild Black-hole



Need outer boundary tricky treatments, Need better gravitational wave extractions, Need wider coverage of space-time,



- □ Need outer boundary tricky treatments,
- Model Need better gravitational wave extractions,
- □ Need wider coverage of space-time,



transform ADM to Newman-Penrose

Connection Formula from ADM to Newman-Penrose variables From (γ_{ij}, K_{ij}) to $(\Psi_0, \dots \Psi_4)$ via Weyl tensor's Electric & Magnetic decomposition Gunnersen-Shinkai-Maeda, Class. Quant. Grav. 12 (1995) 133



Weyl curvature and its electric and a magnetic components:

$$C_{abcd} = R_{abcd} - g_{a[c}R_{d]b} + g_{b[c}R_{d]a} - \frac{1}{3}Rg_{a[c}g_{d]b},$$
$$E_{ab} \equiv -C_{ambn}t^{m}t^{n}, \quad B_{ab} \equiv -*C_{ambn}t^{n}t^{m},$$

where $\ ^{*}C_{abcd}=\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}^{\ mn}C_{mncd}$ is a dual of the Weyl tensor. With ADM variables,

$$E_{ab} = R_{ab} - K_a^{\ m} K_{bm} + K K_{ab} - \frac{2}{3} \Lambda \gamma_{ab},$$

$$B_{ab} = \varepsilon_a^{\ mn} D_m K_{nb}.$$

By defining $s_{ab} = \gamma_{ab} - \hat{z}_a \hat{z}_b$, we decompose

$$E_{ab} = e\hat{z}_{a}\hat{z}_{b} + 2e_{(a}\hat{z}_{b)} + e_{ab} - (1/2)s_{ab}e.$$

$$B_{ab} = b\hat{z}_{a}\hat{z}_{b} + 2b_{(a}\hat{z}_{b)} + b_{ab} - (1/2)s_{ab}b.$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{With } l^a = (1/\sqrt{2})(t^a + z^a), n^a = (1/\sqrt{2})(t^a - z^a), & m^a = (1/\sqrt{2})(x^a - iy^a), \\ J^{\ b}_a \equiv \varepsilon^{\ bcd}_a \hat{z}_c t_d \text{, and } s = -1 \text{ for } (-,+,+,+), \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} \Psi_0 &\equiv C_{abcd} l^a m^b l^c m^d &= -(e_{ab} + sJ_a{}^c b_{bc}) m^a m^b, \\ \Psi_1 &\equiv C_{abcd} l^a n^b l^c m^d &= -(s/\sqrt{2})(e_a + sJ_a{}^c b_c) m^a, \\ \Psi_2 &\equiv C_{abcd} l^a m^b \bar{m}^c n^d &= -(1/2)(e + ib), \\ \Psi_3 &\equiv C_{abcd} l^a n^b \bar{m}^c n^d &= -(s/\sqrt{2})(e_a - sJ_a{}^c b_c) \bar{m}^a, \\ \Psi_4 &\equiv C_{abcd} n^a \bar{m}^b n^c \bar{m}^d &= -(e_{ab} - sJ_a{}^c b_b) \bar{m}^a \bar{m}^b. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$C_{abcd}C^{abcd} = \Psi_4\Psi_0 - 4\Psi_1\Psi_3 + 3\Psi_2^2.$$

$$R_{abcd}R^{abcd} = C_{abcd}C^{abcd} + 2R_{ab}R^{ab} - (1/3)R^2.$$

... applied to many groups' numerical codes.

Head-on Collision of 2 Black-Holes (Misner initial data) NCSA group 1995



Need outer boundary tricky treatments,
 Need better gravitational wave extractions,

Meed wider coverage of space-time,



"characteristic approach"

Stable Characteristic Evolution of Generic Three-Dimensional Single-Black-Hole Spacetimes

R. Gómez,¹ L. Lehner,¹ R.L. Marsa,^{1,2} J. Winicour,¹ A.M. Abrahams,³ A. Anderson,⁴ P. Anninos,³ T.W. Baumgarte,³ N. T. Bishop,⁵ S.R. Brandt,³ J. C. Browne,² K. Camarda,⁶ M. W. Choptuik,² G. B. Cook,⁷ R. Correll,² C. R. Evans,⁴ L.S. Finn,⁸ G.C. Fox,⁹ T. Haupt,⁹ M.F. Hug,² L.E. Kidder,⁸ S.A. Klasky,⁹ P. Laguna,⁶ W. Landry,⁷ J. Lenaghan,⁴ J. Masso,³ R. A. Matzner,² S. Mitra,² P. Papadopoulos,⁶ M. Parashar,² L. Rezzolla,³ M. E. Rupright,⁴ F. Saied,³ P.E. Saylor,³ M.A. Scheel,⁷ E. Seidel,³ S.L. Shapiro,³ D. Shoemaker,² L. Smarr,³ B. Szilágyi,¹ S.A. Teukolsky,⁷ M. H. P. M. van Putten,⁷ P. Walker,³ and J. W. York, Jr.⁴

(Binary Black Hole Grand Challenge Alliance)



covered by a sequence of *outgoing* light cones.

outgoing formulation



FIG. 1. The outgoing formulation: The exterior of Γ is FIG. 2. The ingoing formulation: The interior of Γ is covered by a sequence of *ingoing* light cones. The interior of \mathcal{T} is excised from the evolution.

ingoing formulation



Wobbling BH

Kerr-Schild BH

FIG. 3. Surface area vs time for a wobbling hole (with rotation frequency 0.1, offset 0.1, and mass 0.5) and an initially distorted spinning hole (Kerr mass 0.5). The inset shows three different snapshots of the MTS in the case of the "wobble."

More Need outer boundary tricky treatments,

- Model Need better gravitational wave extractions,
- **☑** Need wider coverage of space-time,



"Cauchy-characteristic matching" technique

GW extraction and outer BCs by Cauchy-characteristic matching

Southampton group (90s), Pittsburgh group (90s)





FIG. 1. Combined Cauchy-characteristic surfaces for an isolated radiative system.

FIGURE 6

Need outer boundary tricky treatments,
 Need better gravitational wave extractions,
 Need wider coverage of space-time,



hyperboloical foliation "conformal Einstein eqs."

Conformal Field Equations, Asymptotically null, Hyperboloidal approach

H. Friedrich, Proc. Roy. Soc. A375, 169 (1981); A378, 401 (1981). P. Hübner, Class. Quant. Grav. 16 2145 (1999); 16 2823 (1999); 18 1421 (2001); 18 1871 (2001).

- A symmetric hyperbolic system.
- 5 gauge functions: (α, β^a, R) where R is the Ricci scalar.
- 57 variables:

 γ_{ij}, K_{ij} ,

the connection coefficients $\gamma^a{}_{bc}$, projections of 4-d \hat{R}_{ab} Ricci tensor ${}^{(0,1)}\hat{R}_a = n^b \gamma_a{}^c \hat{R}_{bc} {}^{(1,1)}\hat{R}_{ab} = \gamma_a{}^c \gamma_b{}^d \hat{R}_{bd}$ the electric and magnetic components of the rescaled Weyl tensor $C_{abc}{}^d$, the conformal factor Ω $\Omega_0 \equiv n^a \nabla_a \Omega, \nabla_a \Omega, \nabla^a \nabla_a \Omega$.



Figure 3. Conformal spacetime for the asymptotically A3 spacetimes with y and z coordinates suppressed.



Figure 4. Conformal Minkowski specetime with z coordinate suppressed

We start at $t_0 = -1$ and integrate until $t_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$. As long as t_0 and t_1 are negative, their choice is completely arbitrary. The origin (0, 0) is singular, the components of the metric and the curvature become singular there. In principle we could continue the calculation beyond

Need outer boundary tricky treatments,
 Need better gravitational wave extractions,
 Need wider coverage of space-time,



"Cauchy-perturbative matching" technique

GW extraction and outer BCs by Cauchy-perturbative matching

Binary BH Grand Challenge Alliance, PRL80(1998)1812 M.E. Rupright et al, PRD57(1998)1084 L. Rezzola et al, PRD58(1998)044005, PRD59(1999)064001

ADM 3D code, Teukolsky wave test



FIG. 1. Location of the different outer boundaries and of the extraction 2-sphere for two successive timeslices. The dark shaded region shows the spatial domain over which the 3D nonlinear equation are solved.



FIG. 2. Convergence to the analytic solution of the extracted (r = 1) and evolved (r = 8) multipole $(a_+)_{20}$. The amplitude is scaled by r^3 to compensate for the radial fall-off.

More Need outer boundary tricky treatments,

- Molecular Market Need better gravitational wave extractions,
- **☑** Need wider coverage of space-time,



connect to "close-limit approximation"

Close-limit approximation

head-on collision of black-holes (Misner data)

R. Price , J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3297 (1994)P. Anninos, et al. Phys. Rev. D 52, 4462 (1995)





Fig. 3. The radiated energy in a collision of two momentarily-stationary black holes (the Misner problem) compared with the results of full numerical simulations of the NCSA/Potsdam/WashU group. We see that the approximation works well for black holes that are closer than about six times the mass of each hole.

Fig. 4. First and second order waveforms. Because the first and second order Zerilli functions are not the coefficients of an expansion of a function, it makes no sense to compare them. We therefore present the time derivative of the first order Zerilli function and a second order correction to it. These expressions squared are proportional to the radiated power, and convey information about the gravitational waveform.





More Need outer boundary tricky treatments,

- Molecular Market Need better gravitational wave extractions,
- **☑** Need wider coverage of space-time,



"quasi-spherical approximation"



Quasi-Spherical Approximation scheme

S.A. Hayward, Phys. Rev. D61, 101503 (2000).

The scheme truncates the Einstein equations by removing second-order terms which would vanish in a spherically symmetric space-time.

- dual-null formulation is adapted to radiation extraction.
- only ordinary differential equations need be solved
- providing a computationally inexpensive estimate of the gravitational waveforms produced by a black-hole collision, given a full numerical simulation up to (or close to) coalescence, or an analytical model thereof.
- No prescribed background is required and that arbitrarily rapid dynamical processes (close to spherical symmetry) are allowed.

How well the scheme handles deviations from spherical symmetry? against angular momentum? (cf. coalescing black holes)

Quasispherical approximation for rotating black holes

Hisa-aki Shinkai* and Sean A. Hayward[†]

Centre for Gravitational Physics and Geometry, 104 Davey Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802-6300 (Received 31 August 2000; published 5 July 2001)

We numerically implement a quasispherical approximation scheme for computing gravitational waveforms for coalescing black holes, testing it against angular momentum by applying it to Kerr black holes. As error measures, we take the conformal strain and specific energy due to spurious gravitational radiation. The strain is found to be monotonic rather than wavelike. The specific energy is found to be at least an order of magnitude smaller than the 1% level expected from typical black-hole collisions, for angular momentum up to at least 70% of the maximum, for an initial surface as close as r=3m.



FIG. 1. The region of numerical integration is shown as the shaded region in the picture. Initial data is prescribed on a spatial surface *S* of constant Boyer-Lindquist $r = r_0$ and *t*, and the null hypersurfaces Σ_{\pm} generated from it. On Σ_{-} (Σ_{+}), the x^{-} (x^{+}) coordinate is set so as to cover the region $\lambda r_H \leq r \leq r_0$ ($r_0 \leq r \leq nr_H$), where $1 < \lambda \approx 1$ and $n \geq 1$ are constants to be set by hand.



FIG. 6. Logarithmic plot of specific energy E/m due to spurious radiation, as a function of a/m and r_0/m . Energy is measured at $x^+=30$, and the plotted range is $r_0/m \in [3.0,4.5]$ and $a/m \in [0.1,0.7]$.